

Testimony and Appearances of John Chamberlin

- 2010 Black Hills Energy. Direct testimony before the Corporation Commission of the State of Kansas regarding the appropriateness of BHE's proposed revenue per customer decoupling mechanism. Docket 10-BHCG-639-TAR.
- 2009 Pepco Holdings Inc. Direct testimony before the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities regarding the appropriateness of Atlantic City Electric's proposed revenue per customer decoupling mechanism. Docket ER09080664.
- 2009 Salt River Project (SRP). Testimony before the SRP Board of Directors regarding the appropriateness of a proposed general price increase, the allocation of costs to various customer classes, and the proposed design of a set of price plans.
- 2009 New York Power Authority (NYPA). Direct, and rebuttal testimony before the NYPSC on behalf of NYPA related to the appropriateness of Con Edison's proposed increase in transmission and distribution rates. Case 09-E-0428.
- 2009 Black Hills Energy. Direct and rebuttal testimony before the Iowa Utilities Board regarding the appropriateness of BHE's proposed revenue per customer decoupling mechanism. Docket EEP-08-03.
- 2008 Black Hills Energy. Direct testimony before the Colorado PSC regarding the appropriateness of BHE's proposed revenue per customer decoupling mechanism. Docket 08A-431G.
- 2008 New York Power Authority (NYPA). Direct and rebuttal testimony before the NYPSC on behalf of NYPA related to the appropriateness of Con Edison's proposed increase in transmission and distribution rates. Case 08-E-0539.
- 2007 Salt River Project (SRP). Direct testimony before the SRP Board of Directors regarding the appropriateness of a proposed general price increase, the allocation of costs to various customer classes, and the proposed rate design.
- 2007 New York Power Authority (NYPA). Direct and rebuttal testimony before the NYPSC on behalf of NYPA related to the appropriateness of Con Edison's proposed increase in transmission and distribution rates. Reviewed the appropriateness of the proposed increase and its allocation, and recommended changes. Case Number 07-E-0523.

- 2007 Pepco Holdings Inc (PHI) Direct and rebuttal testimony on behalf of Pepco before the District of Columbia PSC related to the appropriateness of a proposed decoupling mechanism for better aligning revenues with costs and removing disincentives for energy efficiency.
- 2007 Pepco Holdings Inc (PHI). Direct and rebuttal testimony on behalf of Delmarva Power & Light before the Delaware PSC related to a the appropriateness of a proposed decoupling mechanism for better aligning revenues with costs and removing disincentives for energy efficiency. Docket No. 06-284.
- 2007 Pepco Holdings Inc (PHI). Direct and rebuttal testimony on behalf of Delmarva Power & Light before the Maryland PUC related to a the appropriateness of a proposed decoupling mechanism for better aligning revenues with costs and removing disincentives for energy efficiency. Case No. 9093.
- 2007 Pepco Holdings Inc (PHI). Direct and rebuttal testimony on behalf of Pepco MD before the MD PUC related to a the appropriateness of a proposed decoupling mechanism for better aligning revenues with costs and removing disincentives for energy efficiency. Case No. 9092.
- 2005 Oklahoma Gas & Electric (OG&E). Direct the Oklahoma Corporation Commission on behalf of OG&E related to the calculation of avoided costs associated with an intermittent wind generation project. Cause No. PUD 200500177 and PUD 200500059.
- 2005 Salt River Project (SRP). Direct testimony related to the reasonableness of a proposed general price increase, the allocation of costs to various customer classes, and the proposed rate design.
- 2004 Salt River Project (SRP). Direct testimony related to a methodological change in how fuel and purchased power cost increases are allocated to various customer classes and how price increases are established.
- 2004 New York Power Authority (NYPA). Direct and rebuttal testimony before the NYPSC on behalf of NYPA related to the appropriateness of Con Edison's proposed increase in transmission and distribution. Reviewed whether the proposed increase was justified; recommended changes to the proposed increase. Case Number 04-E-0572.
- 2004 Salt River Project (SRP). Direct testimony related to the reasonableness of SRP's cost of service model and ratemaking methodology. Reviewed whether

- SRP's approach was generally consistent with industry practice and how costs are incurred.
- 2003 Hydro One Networks, Inc. Direct testimony before the OEB addressing the economic principles underlying utility service areas. Researched and analyzed the impact of allowing overlapping or multiple franchises in the same service area. File Number RP-2003-0044.
- 2003 Interstate Power and Light Company. Rebuttal testimony before the IUB addressing the appropriate methodology to calculate avoided capacity costs for an electric utility. Docket No. EEP-02-38.
- 2003 MidAmerican Energy Company. Rebuttal testimony before the IUB related to appropriate avoided cost basis for interruptible rates.
- 2000 Nova Scotia Power Inc. Direct testimony related to an application for market-based pricing.
- 1997 Entergy Arkansas, Inc. Surrebuttal Final Testimony before the Arkansas Public Service Commission to address issues raised by the Arkansas Public Service Commission General Staff regarding EAI's proposed Standby Service Rate Rider (SS), Rate Schedule 20 for standby service (Docket No. 96-360-U).
- 1997 Entergy Arkansas, Inc. Rebuttal testimony before the Arkansas Public Service Commission to address the issues related to Entergy Arkansas, Inc.'s proposed Standby Service Rate Rider, Rate Schedule 20 (Docket No. 96-360-U).
- 1997 Entergy New Orleans, Inc. Testimony before the Council of the City of New Orleans to describe the Company's proposed Schedule SS standby tariff, explaining why it better reflects the cost of providing standby service, and why it should be approved by the Council of the City of New Orleans. This tariff would replace the current Qualified Facility Standby Service Rate Schedule QFSS-1 (Docket No. UD-97-1 Phase II).
- 1997 Testimony before the Michigan Senate Technology and Energy Committee and before the House Public Utilities Committee on the key issues involved with the electric industry's restructuring and how states are addressing these restructuring issues.

- 1996 Entergy Arkansas, Inc. Testimony before the Arkansas Public Service Commission to recommend that the Commission adopt the proposed standby tariff that reflects the cost of providing standby service, replacing the current Standby and Maintenance Service Rider M7 (Docket 96-360-U).
- 1996 Entergy Louisiana, Inc. Testimony before the Louisiana Public Service Commission in support of Entergy Louisiana, Inc.'s, proposal to restructure the standby rate to better reflect the cost of providing standby service and to recommend the Commission adopt the Company's proposal to offer standby service under new Standby Service Schedule SS-1, as a restructured replacement of the current QFSS-9 tariff (Docket No. U-22138).
- 1996 Entergy Gulf States, Inc. Testimony before the Public Service Commission of Louisiana in support of Entergy Gulf State's proposal to restructure the standby rate to better reflect the cost of providing standby service (Docket No. U-22137).
- 1996 Pennsylvania Electric Company. Rebuttal testimony before the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission demonstrating that National Fuel Gas Distribution Corporation's request for restraints on Pennsylvania's competitive activities is ill-advised (Docket No. R-00943280C0001).
- 1996 Delmarva Power & Light Company. Testimony before the Maryland Public Service Commission concerning proposed changes in Delmarva Power & Light Company's demand-side management program portfolio. (Maryland PSC Case No. 8716).
- 1996 Nova Scotia Power Inc. Direct testimony before the Nova Scotia Utility and Review Board (NSPI-868 Rate Application) reviewing and commenting on my previous recommendations (Public Utilities Act, R.S.N.S., 1989, Ch 380) and discussing general rate design considerations, the results of Hydro-Québec's recent TOU pilot program, and growing competition in the electric utility industry.
- 1995 Delmarva Power & Light Company. Testimony before the Delaware Public Service Commission concerning demand-side management programs. (Docket No. 95-172).

- 1995 Consumers Gas Company. Testimony showing that the present evaluation and reporting requirements that Consumers Gas faces for its system expansion projects too readily reject projects that would provide societal benefits; and proposing alternative evaluation criteria based on a portfolio analysis of rate impacts that optimize societal benefits while ensuring protection for existing ratepayers (EBO 188).
- 1995 United Illuminating Company. Testimony regarding petition for expedited approval of residential heat pump (RHP).
- 1995 New Orleans Public Service, Inc., and Louisiana Power & Light Company. Testimony regarding IRP rules and procedures. (Docket Nos. UD-92 A and B).
- 1994 Oklahoma Gas & Electric Company. Testimony regarding IRP rules and procedures (Cause No. PUD 940000369).
- 1994 Arkansas Power & Light Company. Testimony regarding criteria the commission should employ regarding cogeneration deferred activities (Docket No. 94-145-9).
- 1994 Carolina Power & Light Company. Developed testimony in preparation of a North Carolina Utilities Commission investigation of the effect of electric IRP and DSM programs on the competition between electric and natural gas utilities (Docket No. E-100, Sub 71).
- 1994 Florida Power Corporation. Rebuttal testimony before the Florida Public Service Commission regarding the appropriate test of cost-effectiveness for the selection of FPC's DSM programs and whether FPC should be required to offer electric-to-gas fuel substitution programs (Docket No. 930549-EG).
- 1994 Central Vermont Public Service Company. Prepared rebuttal testimony regarding IRP procedures, and CVPS DSM activities. (Dockets Nos. 5270, CV-1 and CV-3, and 5686).
- 1994 Midwest Power Company. Supplemental rebuttal testimony regarding the impact of changing external conditions on the magnitude of DSM savings that MWP can hope to achieve and a comparison of MWP's DSM efforts to those of other utilities before the Iowa Utilities Board (Docket No. GCU-88-1).

- 1994 LMP Corporation vs. Universal Manufacturing Corporation. Testimony in a civil proceeding regarding a contract dispute involving a dimmable electronic ballast (California Superior Court).
- 1994 Nucor vs. Nebraska Public Power District (NPPD). Testimony on cost of service and rate design issues for NPPD in a U.S. District Court civil case (Case No. CV 88-0-530).
- 1993 Midwest Power Company. Direct testimony in support of Midwest Power's application to modify the calculation of lost margins in its DSM cost recovery hearing before the Iowa State Commerce Commission (Docket No. ECR-93-2).
- 1993 Nova Scotia Power Company. Direct testimony before the Nova Scotia Utility and Review Board (Public Utilities Act, R.S.N.S., 1989, Ch 380) reviewing Nova Scotia Power's 1993 filing on cost of service and pricing issues. Testimony included a discussion of the value of time-of-use rates and marginal costs for rate design and cost allocation purposes.
- 1993 Detroit Edison Company. Rebuttal testimony in support of Detroit Edison's DSM program and proposed cost recovery and incentives treatment in Case No. U-10102 before the Michigan Public Service Commission.
- 1993 Potomac Electric Power Company. Direct and rebuttal testimony in support of Pepco's proposed treatment of DSM lost revenues before the Public Service Commission of the District of Columbia (Case No. 929).
- 1992 Delmarva Power & Light Company. Direct testimony related to Delmarva's application for a plant certification in a hearing before the Maryland Public Service Commission.
- 1992 Arkansas Power & Light Company. Testimony regarding standards that the Arkansas Public Service Commission should apply in the analysis of fuel switching and load retention programs (Docket No. 92-175-P).
- 1992 Delmarva Power & Light Company. Direct testimony regarding Delmarva's application for approval of new DSM programs and revisions to existing programs before the Delaware Public Service Commission (Docket No. 92-73).

- 1992 Manitoba Hydro. Direct testimony for Manitoba Hydro on using the TRC and RIM tests to select demand-side management programs.
- 1992 Detroit Edison Company. Direct testimony in support of Detroit Edison's demand-side management program, including alternative ratemaking treatment for recovering DSM costs, lost revenues, and utility incentives in Case No. U-10102 before the Michigan Public Service Commission.
- 1992 Pennsylvania utilities. Supplemental direct testimony and rebuttal testimony before the Pennsylvania Public Utilities Commission (Docket No. I-900005) on utility demand-side management programs, specifically explaining why an automatic adjustment mechanism is the preferred approach for recovering costs of approved DSM programs.
- 1992 Oklahoma Gas & Electric. Supplemental direct and rebuttal testimony before the Oklahoma Public Utilities District (Cause No. 001071) on DSM cost-recovery and incentives and DSM planning issues.
- 1992 Potomac Electric Power Company. Provided direct and rebuttal testimony in support of Pepco's 1992 Energy Plan (second least-cost plan) before the Public Service Commission of the District of Columbia (Case No. 917).
- 1992 Wisconsin utilities. Direct and rebuttal testimony on consideration of externalities in integrated resource planning and various demand-side management planning issues. Before the Public Service Commission of Wisconsin (Advance Plan 6).
- 1992 City of Anaheim, Public Utilities Board. Testimony at public hearing on cost-of-service and rate design issues (March 5, 1992, hearing date).
- 1992 City of Tacoma, Department of Public Utilities. Testimony related to cost-of-service and rate design issues (Case No. 90-816C).
- 1991 Potomac Electric Power Company. Presented direct and rebuttal testimony before the Public Service Commission of the District of Columbia (Formal Case No. 905) in Pepco's general rate case relating to demand-side management incentives, declining block rate structures, and gas marginal costs.

- 1991 State of Hawaii, Department of Business, Economic Development and Tourism. Testimony before the Hawaii Public Utilities Commission (Docket No. 6617) in support of the DBED's proposed integrated resource planning framework for Hawaii.
- 1991 Potomac Electric Power Company. Provided rebuttal testimony on rate design before the Public Service Commission of Maryland (Case No. 8315) in Pepco's rate case.
- 1991 Georgia Power Company. Developed and presented testimony to the Georgia Public Service Commission (Docket No. 3979-U) on national and southern trends in the regulation and rate treatment of demand-side management programs and other regulatory or legislative mandates under consideration.
- 1991 North Carolina Public Utilities Commission (Docket No. E-100, Sub. 59). Analysis of NCPUC avoided cost methodologies and least-cost planning procedures.
- 1990 Potomac Electric Power Company. Prepared and presented testimony on Pepco's least-cost planning process before the District of Columbia Public Service Commission (Case No. 834 Phase II).
- 1990 Potomac Electric Power Company. Provided rebuttal testimony before the District of Columbia Public Service Commission (Case No. 889) in Pepco's general rate case regarding rate design and least-cost planning issues.
- 1990 Potomac Electric Power Company. Prepared testimony for Pepco's general rate case before the Maryland Public Service Commission (Case No. 8251), related to electric rate design and gas marginal costs.
- 1990 Manitoba Hydro. Analysis of demand-side management plans before the Manitoba Public Utilities Board.
- 1990 Atlantic City Electric Company. Testimony on DSM planning and regulatory incentive mechanisms before the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities (Docket No. EX 90040304).
- 1989 Fisher vs. Children's Hospital. Analysis of economic damages resulting from unlawful termination of employment.

- 1989 Taliaferro vs. Children's Hospital. Analysis of economic damages resulting from unlawful termination of employment.
- 1989 Kawahara vs. City of San Francisco. Analysis of economic damages resulting from unlawful termination of employment.
- 1988 New England Power Company. Analysis of rate design options and strategy.
- 1988 Braun vs. Owen Corning. Analysis of the economic damages resulting from unlawful termination of employment.
- 1988 Grubb and Ellis vs. Serraro. Analysis of the economic damages resulting from unlawful termination of employment.
- 1988 Grathwohl vs. Lake, et al. Analysis of the economic damages resulting from unlawful termination of employment.
- 1988 Jack and Rosalie Starr. Analysis of lost earnings resulting from a personal injury.
- 1987 Sacramento Municipal Utility District. Recommended cost-of-service methods, marginal cost principals and rate design options. Presented time-of-day rate options.
- 1987 California Energy Commission. Recommended regulatory response to increasingly competitive market.
- 1987 Public Service Company of New Mexico. Analysis of potential savings from demand-side management.
- 1986 Sacramento Municipal Utility District. Direct testimony related to the development of time-of day tariffs.
- 1984 Sacramento Municipal Utility District. Evaluated methodology used to calculate marginal cost-of-service.
- 1982 Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission. Evaluated alternative cost-of-service methodologies and results for Philadelphia Electric Company.

1981 Delaware Public Service Commission. Developed cost-of-service and rate design recommendation regarding Delaware Power & Light Company.